

Hi Aaron,

I was so inspired by our discussion of two weeks ago that I wrote up a script for a video on Charlemagne.

I am not suggesting that you follow this script, but, if I were to do a video, this is what I would do.

Salut,

Pierre

THE CHARLEMAGNE-HARUN AL-RASHID CREDIT SYSTEM

[Project for an LPACTV Video]

PROLOGUE: [[Statement by Lyn on the nature of a Credit System and truthfulness.]]

State that Charlemagne was the first world leader to ever establish an economic policy based on the idea of a credit system before Lyndon LaRouche, and that he was the only one who understood the relevance of charity as a true physical-economic function.

INTRODUCTION: THE KINGDOM OF THE FRANKS VS THE ROMAN EMPIRE.

Do a short Clip showing the deception of Pope Urban III crowning Charlemagne Roman Emperor in Rome on Christmas Day at the Vatican in 800. Then ask the question: “Why is Europe Bankrupt today?” The answer is: “Because the crowning of Charlemagne as a Roman Emperor was a lie and a fallacy of composition that Europeans never had the courage to repudiate. Europeans have abandoned the Frankness of the Charlemagne-Harun al-Rashid human credit system, and have replaced it with a fictitious animalistic form of oligarchical monetarism.

[[Quotes by Einhard and the Monk of Saint Gall o the subject of the Charlemagne crowning]]

Lyn’s statement on the Legacy of Charlemagne:

“At the bottom, the failures of France itself, and that of Germany, too, must be attributed chiefly to the failure to recognize two cardinal facts of ancient through modern history. First, was the inherent evil of the method of the oligarchical system, as expressed by the existence of the oligarchical system typified by the Roman Empire and its successors; secondly, the failure of

France and Germany to recognize, that it has been in more recent centuries, the folly of both France and Germany to fail to understand their respective frequent betrayals of the legacy of Charlemagne.” (Lyndon LaRouche, *Three Steps to Recovery*, EIR, October 14, 2011, p. 40.)

1. OVERVIEW OF TODAY’S EUROPEAN THOUSAND YEAR OLD CANAL SYSTEM.

Start from the “Fossa Carolina” to the Rhone-Saone-Rhine-Danube canal and ask the question: ‘Why did it take a thousand years to develop the canal system of Europe when, in less than a hundred years Charlemagne succeeded in breaking the back of the Roman Empire system? Europeans should be asking: “Where did we go wrong?” Answer: “When we began to accept the replacement of truth for lies, when we accepted the lies of the bankers and abandoned the legacy of the Kingdom of the Franks.” (Google view of the European Canal System. Then show two maps of Europe that cannot fit on each other: a false unity of the Europe of bankers (Maastricht) and a truthful unity of the Europe of Charlemagne from the Atlantic to the Urals (the European Triangle). What made that difference impossible to bridge? Show the truth by succeeding in overlapping a European canal map with a European Triangle map and call it Helga’s Truthful revival of the Charlemagne-Harun al-Rashid Eurasian Landbridge.

[[Interview with Helga Zepp-LaRouche on the European Triangle.]]

2. FLASH BACK TO THE IRISH AUGUSTINIAN MOVEMENT (IAM) AND THE MOHAMMED ADVENT OF ISLAM (MAI) [Use ancient maps of the time]

Emphasize the education based on truth as opposed to propitiation: Truth as the inversion of deception skills. [Use examples given by the Monk of Saint-Gall] Show the simplicity and modesty of Charlemagne’s throne at Aachen in comparison with the pretentious and fake of Queen Elizabeth II’s throne.

3. ALCUIN AND CHARLEMAGNE: THE PRINCIPLE OF THE FILIOQUE.

The fight for the truth inside of the Church: Emphasize the paradox of the Holy Trinity as an ecumenical pedagogical device against heresies and a legitimate means of making a discovery of principle in three steps. The change of the Christian Creed as proposed by Charlemagne and its introduction at the Seventh Ecumenical Council of Nice (787). Use original texts of Alcuin and Charlemagne to buttress your argument. See the *Caroline Books*. The whole debate of the veneration of God and Saints through

images is a problem differentiating the mind from sense perception (the concupiscence of the senses). Charlemagne's conception was based on the veneration of the underlying principle behind the images.

4. CHARLEMAGNE CONQUERING AND CIVILIZING EUROPE: *THE PRINCIPLE OF FRANKNESS.*

The Charlemagne principle of self-organizing a military expedition was based on a least action principle. He would never have more than one military expedition at a time, and no more than one expedition a year. He did not have a regular standing army and never called to duty more than the necessary number of troops which was about 5,000 to 6,000 at the most, with about as many service personnel, cattle and cart drivers who were responsible to provide food for the duration of about three months. He recruited his troops from the country nearest to the theater of operation that he decided to invade, because he wanted to proceed in the quickest time and with the least expense. His basic rule was to mobilize A to convert B for the purpose of conquering C. For example, he had the Franks of the East Christianize the Alemans to conquer the Saxons, the Franks of the East training the Thuringians to conquer the Bavarians, the Lombards training the Bavarians to conquer the Avars, the Saxons and the Bourguignons to conquer the Slavs, as well as the Aquitaine troops training the Provençals to conquer the Arabs of Spain. Sometimes he would change the mix, but always with a concern for proportionality between reason and power.

[[The case of the Avars on the Danube. The war against perfidious alliances]]

Converting pagans to Christianity was not a religious act; it was an act of war. But, that act of war was also an act of human justice, a spiritual imperative to develop mankind, the result of which was an agreement to embrace civilization. The Charlemagne wars were missionary wars of civilizing, not narrow minded Holy Wars as liberal imbeciles have suggested. The conversion of the Pagans was based on the discovery of a universal principle of truth that united all human beings, the *Principle of Frankness*. Any breach of such an alliance of truth between a pagan leader and Charlemagne was punished severely, as the case of the Bavarian Duke Tassilo showed in 788. It was because of his perfidious alliance with the Avars, that Duke Tassilo III of Bavaria was dispossessed of his title and interned in a monastery. It was *the practice of perfidious alliances* that extended the war with the Avars or Huns for a period of eight long years, from 788 to 796. After the conversions of the Avars, Charlemagne kept their political institutions intact, as exemplified by the fact that Khagan Abraham, king of the Avars solicited from Charlemagne in 805 the restoration of the ancient title of Khagan of Pannonia and his ancestral institutions. This conquest was also crucial strategically, because the country of the Avars bordered the central section of the Danube River, essential for Charlemagne's plans with Harun al-Rashid in the East. The issue was not to conquer an enemy, but to make him discover how the mental process behaves in solving paradoxes such as the paradox of the Holy Trinity, and to turn this discovery into a pledge of submission to the Kingdom of the Franks. Thus the Charlemagne Pledge of Fidelity was, as Leibniz put it, a proportional harmony between reason and power:

“To serve God in proportion of my intelligence and of my ability to act in executing the Royal Will.”

It was on the basis of this knowledge and ability to act alone that Charlemagne conducted his very first Episcopal synod in conquered lands at the end of his war with the Avars, in 796. This Synod of the Danube held between the Patriarch Paulinus II of Aquileia, the Bishop of Salzburg, Arno, and the leadership of the Huns, on the shores of the Danube River, which was presided by his son, Pepin, then aged 19, was the turning point of Charlemagne's Eurasian Landbridge policy. The commitment on the part of the Avars and the Slovenes was their willingness to "Christianize the Slavs," as per the Augustinian method of the Patriarch of Aquileia. In order to avoid the mistakes that were made previously in Saxony, Baptism was no longer imposed, but chosen freely by an educated population, using the principle of the *Filioque* (procession of the Holy Spirit) and the paradox of the Holy Trinity. See Saint Paulinus II and his "*Epistle to the Hebrews*." He was the main advocate fighting against the heresy of Adoptionism at the Synod of Frankfort-on-the-Main of 794. Alcuin resorted to the *Catechizing of the Uninstructed* by Saint Augustine. His approach was: *Understand before you accept!* The process involved four steps on the part of the priest: 1) predication, 2) exhortation, 3) instruction, and 4) Baptism. On the part of the subject the four steps he had to go through were 1) perplexity, 2) discovery, 3) joy, and 4) Baptism. The candidate, then, was expected to express a true sense of discovery and explain the "reason" why he or she wished to become a Christian! The same process was used for the conversion of pagans to Judaism or to Islam, with the added intellectual challenge of debating the values of each religion before choosing.

Here, on this account, most Europeans refuse to look at this process of discovery as a fundamental necessity for the development of the human mind, because their liberalism makes them refuse to look at the truth of the fact that Charlemagne was justified in using this process of discovery of principle, and they fear the "shame of public opinion." He was justified in going to war against ignorance and against the barbarity of their uncivilized conduct. The difference between the Three Religions of the Book and paganism is not a matter of opinion, like the British would have you believe, today, with the difference between Democracy and Islam. Paganism represents a debasement of humanity simply by their violent opposition against the development of the human mind. Therefore, Europeans may be very cultured, today; but they are all cowards, because they all reject the immortal idea that a "leader who liberates imbeciles from their errors" is not acceptable by public opinion.

5. THE CHARLEMAGNE-HARUN AL-RASHID ECUMENICAL CREDIT SYSTEM.

A. THE CITY OF NARBONNE: THE FIRST ECUMENICAL CITY.

[[Use background material by Pierre Beaudry, and the Capitulary of Frankfurt, 794.]]

[[Interview with Jacques Cheminade.]]

**B. CHARLEMAGNE AND HARUN AL-RASHID:
THE EAST-WEST CHIRALITY INVERSION.**

[[Use background material by Pierre Beaudry and Hussein Askary.]]

[[Interview with Hussein Askary.]]

**C. THE KHAZAR KINGDOM EXPERIMENT: AN ECUMENICAL HOMELAND
FOR THE JEWISH PEOPLE.**

[[Use background material by Pierre Beaudry]]

**D. VENICE DESTROYED CHARLEMAGNE'S CREDIT SYSTEM WITH THE
LIE OF *THE OATH OF STRASBOURG*.**

[[Use background material by Pierre Beaudry]]

[[Look for Libri Carolini, (Charles' Books)]]

E. THE CAROLINGIAN RENAISSANCE AND THE ISLAMIC RENAISSANCE.

The most beautiful effects of the Carolingian and Islamic collaboration are visible mostly in the form of their artistic and scientific legacy.

[[Aachen Architecture. Alcuin minuscule script. Carolingian music (Metz Chant).]]

[[Islamic Renaissance marquetry. Astronomy. Medecine. Calligraphy.]]



Aachen Charlemagne Chapel.



Al-Andalous, Spain

EPILOGUE:

After Charlemagne's death, everything became localized, small, broken down, and culturally dead. People went back to lying systematically. The minds, the Laws, the application of the Charlemagne Capitularies broke down because the money making feudal regime of Venetian speculators became the center of attraction for religious and political leaders who used their monetary influence to revive the Roman Empire and its oligarchical principle. Byzantium became the second Roman Empire and money making became the new religion. Religious wars became the bestial means of making money and cull the human herd.

The invasion of the Normans began with the support of Venice leading into to two hundred years of crusades between Christians and Muslims which ended in the Dark Age destruction of Europe. After the Renaissance of Council of Florence in 1434, Nicholas of Cusa initiated the America Project with Columbus in the hope of starting a new society based on self-government without the principle of oligarchical monetarism. Meanwhile, In Europe, Jeanne d'Arc and Louis XI were making a last spirited effort to establish the first European nation-state. But, one more time, Europe became the theater of interminable wars for the purpose of making money and reducing human population.

Venice became a third Roman Empire starting a pogrom against the Jews by having them expelled from Spain, starting in 1492. Furthermore, Venice initiated the wars of religion between Protestants and Catholics which devastated Europe and reduced human population by two thirds until the Peace of Westphalia in 1648. Oligarchical monetarism took over Europe again leading into the Seven Years War which ended with the creation of the British East India Company, the fourth Roman Empire.

The American system was created to put an end to this oligarchical monetarism and to the rule of the Roman Empire forever, but was not able to put an end to it until today, when monetarism has finally

destroyed itself by its own internal fallacy and stupidity. The time has come, therefore, to replace it with a new Credit System which reflects the same principles of truthfulness that Charlemagne and Harun al-Rashid represented for civilization. The Credit System of NAWAPA and of the Pacific Alliance with world rail system viewed from the Baring Straits. This is the true legacy of Charlemagne and Harun al-Rashid.

FIN