



THE BRITISH-OBAMA EMPIRE SHIP OF FOOLS AGAINST THE RUSSIAN ROCKS

by Pierre Beaudry, April 6, 2014



Figure 1 Andrea Achenbach, *Tempest off the Norwegian Coast*, (1837)

The coming shipwreck of the British-Obama Monetary System is at hand, because all of the bluffs of the British Great Game have come to an axiomatic limit. No one should fear them, and no one

should react to their bluffs either, because the evil of the British Empire is doomed as was the ship in the *Tempest off the Norwegian Coast*, (1837) by Andrea Achenbach. (**Figure 1**)

The British-Obama *ship of fools* is inevitably being pushed against the rocks of Russia and China by the winds of their own stupidity, and nothing can be done to prevent their self-inflicted catastrophe from happening, except telling the whole truth to their faces. The British-Dutch Oligarchies have been planning that shipwreck for a long time, and now, they have to accept the consequences of their own stupidity. We are no longer living in the nineteenth century. The game of world empires is over.

The question this Achenbach painting presents to the perplexed spectator is precisely the right one that any great classical artistic composition should provoke in the minds of the viewers: what is man to do when he is caught between a rock and a hard place? The answer is very simple. Get out of there! Don't pretend you can steer a *ship of fools* to safety when you cannot make the difference between the jabbing sea you are coming from and the jagged shore you are headed for. The difference between the two demands an axiomatic change of going from oligarchism to republicanism. How do you do that? You simply have to change the rules of the great game. That's what the members of Congress should be saying to Obama by impeaching him.

The point is that this change must not simply be demonstrated, but it must be done; that is, you must cause the change to take place in the other person's mind by making the change yourself. If you don't "do" that, then you are simply commenting on the world, you are not acting on it. To act on the world means you must cause the appropriate effect in the minds of other people by using the underlying process of that change in your own mind. That's the flanking strategy. And, the only way to do that, is to be absolutely truthful in assessing the event by making sure that another mind will be able to capture the underlying principle of your strategic thinking.

By putting a *ship of fools* at the precise boundary limit between the wavy sea to the left and the wavy rocks to the right, Achenbach is causing your mind to be suspended, in a performative way, between a rock and a hard place. You are the one being suspended, because the painting does not represent simply a ship. It represents a state of mind. If you think you can land safely in such a predicament, think again. Look at the position of the ship. The prow is pointing toward the sea where it is attempting to get back to; thus, clearly indicating that it is too late to do anything, because the fools have lost control and have abandoned ship. The time has, therefore, come to abandon the British *Ship of Fools*, now.

Achenbach provoked the crucial questions: How can man dominate the elements? How can man harmonize the sea with the continent? How can there be a bridge between land and sea? With these questions, he brings the spectator to the limit of his power to think beyond the condition of his trapped mind. How do you make an axiomatic leap? How do you get out of a situation which appears to be impossible to avoid? How do you go about denouncing, today, the fact that the British Empire has put the world into a similar trap by threatening the shores of Russia like a raging sea against the rocks of past imperial times? Why are our own [European leaders](#) not speaking out against that British folly? Why can't they speak the truth to their face? Will this desperate imperial bluff work like World War I and II? Is the world going backward toward the rocks of the nineteenth century?

The point to understand is that the current British bluff simply cannot work, because both the Chinese and the Russians, who are on a pro-development economic course, will not respond symmetrically to the British-Obama provocation for war. This is what Russian economist, Sergei Glazyev, advisor to Russian President Vladimir Putin on Eurasian integration, declared to Russian Times (RT) and the Russian Information Agency (RIA) Novosti on April 4, 2014.

“If the Americans try to implement the model used in the case of Iran, meaning virtually total disconnection of the country from the world financial system, that is, from its dollar and euro segment, then our calculations show that losses in the European Union could reach one trillion Euros. If our payments are blocked, the European banks will feel it very palpably, because hundreds of billions of liabilities will be frozen. If such measures were to be pushed further by the U.S., destabilizing the entire European financial system, in parallel with attempts to hurt Russia, then this would turn out to be a big geopolitical game, on the brink of world war. [...]

“Thus, for Europe the sanctions are economic suicide. European businessmen understand this very well. But I'm struck by how much the European media are like a branch of the American, or, rather, not even of the Americans, but of some very vicious hawks.” (Sergei Glazyev, RIA Novosti, April 4, 2014.)

The irony of this world strategic situation is that the British-Obama imperial drive cannot survive this predicament, because they simply cannot afford to declare war on Russia and China. They cannot stop from declaring war either, because they have already gone too far. They will lose if they do, because they will have to go immediately to Thermonuclear War. They will lose if they don't because this is the last stand of the British Empire facing a world which is dropping the pretense of truth in sense perception. As Lyn put it in response to the latest provocations by NATO Commander, Philip M. Breedlove:

“This is getting very close to actually a declaration of war, or declaration of hostilities. That comes close to world war.

"Our comment is that if Russia were to concede on this thing, Russia would be open to a warfare attack on it, because the only thing that prevents that is this whole Crimean area, blocking a complete outflanking operation against Russia from the inside." (Lyndon LaRouche, MORNING BRIEFING, Saturday, April 5, 2014.)

As Lyn said, the Russians and the Chinese know what's next. The point, which is exceedingly clear, is that this *ship of fools* decision by the British controllers of Obama forces him to either go ballistic or be impeached. Why? Because the Banking System is crashing in the form of a bail-in; that is, in a self-cannibalization manner caused by the Wall-Street inflationary bubble. The British idea is to collapse the banks with the bail-in and blame Russia and China for it.

You don't need to monitor the confirmation of this strategic situation through the media if you want to know what the next step is going to be. There is no other exit strategy for the British failure, because you cannot blame the rocks for the shipwreck. No matter how you look at it, there is only one thing to do: Obama must be impeached.

FIN